

## Making full and immediate Open Access a reality

Building a service to support cOAlition S's Price & Service Transparency Frameworks: an Invitation to Tender



**6** ANNEX B: Scoring criteria

Responses to the invitation to the tender will be evaluated using the following selection criteria and scoring methodology.

Selection Criteria	Weighting	Maximum Score Available
The provider/consortium demonstrates high technical ability, experience and knowledge necessary to deliver and maintain this service.	30%	5
The provider/consortium's proposed approach addresses the requirements within the Statement of Requirements in an appropriate and achievable manner within the timeframe given.	30%	5
The provider/consortium demonstrates a clear understanding of the project, potential issues and the key stakeholders within the field.	20 %	5
The budget proposed offers value for money.	20%	Calculated rather than scored:  Most competitive price X Weighting Percentage (20%)  Price being evaluated



Score	Definition	
0	A response that provides no relevant answer and is therefore judged to NOT MEET the criteria.	
1	A response that provides no detail or has low relevance to the response sections and is therefore judged to LARGELY NOT MEET the criteria.	
2	A response that lacks evidence and/or relativeness to the response sections and is therefore judged to BARELY MEET the criteria.	
3	A response that provides a broadly relevant answer but that is missing a number of details and/or evidence from within the response sections and is therefore judged to PARTIALLY MEET the criteria.	
4	A response that is reasonably detailed missing only very limited details and/or evidence within the response sections and is therefore judged to ALMOST MEET the criteria	
5	A detailed response that provides a full, direct, evidenced answer and is therefore judged to FULLY MEET the criteria.	